KCL-PH-TH/2012-48

arXiv:1212.4987v1 [gr-qc] 20 Dec 2012

Does Gravity's Rainbow induce Inflation without an Inflaton?

Remo Garattini*
Università degli Studi di Bergamo, Facoltà di Ingegneria
Viale Marconi 5, 24044 Dalmine (Bergamo) Italy
and I.N.F.N. - sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy

Mairi Sakellariadou†
Department of Physics,
King's College London, University of London
Strand, London WC2R 2LS, U.K.

We study aspects of quantum cosmology in the presence of a modified space-time geometry. In particular, within the context of Gravity's Rainbow modified geometry, motivated from quantum gravity corrections at the Planck energy scale, we show that the distortion of the metric leads to a Wheeler-De Witt equation whose solution admits outgoing plane waves. Hence, a period of cosmological inflation may arise without the need for introducing an inflaton field.

I. INTRODUCTION

The inflationary paradigm can successfully address some of the shortcomings of the hot big bang cosmological model and provide a spectrum of adiabatic fluctuations that can fit the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) temperature anisotropies data. This, widely accepted scenario, enrich the standard cosmological model, combining general relativity with high energy physics. The inflationary scenario requires the introduction of, at least one, scalar field, the inflaton, with a suitable potential so that a long period of slow-roll inflation can be accommodated. Moreover, CMB temperature anisotropies measurements impose constraints on the parameters of the inflationary potential. However, the naturalness of a given inflationary model can be argued only if the inflaton field with the appropriate potential can result from a fundamental theory. Hence, despite the simplicity of a scalar field driven slow-roll inflationary era and the promising phenomenological consequences, in particular regarding the spectrum of CMB anisotropies, this paradigm is not fully satisfactory, still lacking a solid theoretical framework. Moreover, even though inflation takes place at energy scales, high enough that quantum corrections can no longer be neglected, scalar field driven slow-roll inflationary models have been mainly studied within the classical approximation regime.

As it is well known, at very high energy scales, the simple framework of a smooth manifold with a metric following classical general relativity breaks down. The threshold is defined by the Planck energy scale, $E_{\rm Pl} = \sqrt{\hbar c^5/G}$, above which one should consider a full quantum theory to describe the structure of space-time. Even though various different approaches (e.g., string theory, loop quantum gravity, noncommutative geometry, causal dynamical triangulations, causal sets) have being developed, we are still lacking a full quantum gravity theory. In what follows we will use the fact that general relativity provides a natural scheme for quantization of the gravitational field, namely the Wheeler-De Witt (WDW) equation, which is a quantum version of the Hamiltonian constraint obtained from the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) decomposition of space-time. Moreover, we will consider that near the Planck energy scale, quantum gravity effects may modify the space-time geometry, from the simple Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric describing a homogeneous, isotropic and closed universe with line element

$$ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + a^2(t)\, d\Omega_3^2 \;, \tag{1}$$

where $d\Omega_3^2(k)$ is the metric on the spatial sections which have constant curvature $k = 0, \pm 1$, defined by

$$d\Omega_3^2 = \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j \;; \tag{2}$$

$N = N(t)$ is the lapse function taken to be homogeneous and $a(t)$ denotes the scale factor. One may for instance consider that near the Planck energy scale, space-time coordinates may not commute, as in the case of κ-deformed

---

[*] Electronic address: Remo.Garattini@unibg.it
[†] Electronic address: Mairi.Sakellariadou@kcl.ac.uk

Minkowski space-time, hence leading to a modification of the metric. In what follows, we consider that the space-time geometry is described by the deformed metric

$$ds^2 = -\frac{N^2}{g_1^2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}dt^2 + \frac{a^2\left(t\right)}{g_2^2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}d\Omega_3^2 \ , \tag{3}$$

where the g_1, g_2 functions of energy, which capture the deformation of the metric in Eq. (3) above, should be such that

$$\lim_{E/E_{\rm Pl}\to 0} g_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right) = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{E/E_{\rm Pl}\to 0} g_2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right) = 1 \ , \tag{4}$$

so that at low energies one recovers the FLRW metric.

We note that the deformed metric, Eq. (3), dubbed as Gravity's Rainbow has been introduced in Ref. [1], as an extension to the non-linear, deformed, or Doubly Special Relativity (DSR) [2] in a curved space-time. Doubly special relativity modifies special relativity so as to preserve an additional (apart the one given by the speed of light) scale, which corresponds to the scale at which the quantum nature of space-time reveals itself. In the context of DSR, one argues that quantum gravity effects may alter the expression of the energy and momentum of a relativistic particle, so that while Lorentz symmetry is preserved, the action of the Lorentz group becomes non-linear in momentum space, leading to a consistency with the presence of a new invariant energy/momentum scale related to the Planck scale. Thus, DSR leads to a modified dispersion relation for a massive particle. The deformed metric, Eq. (3), we will work with, may be presented as a generalization of DSR, however one has to keep in mind that it does not necessarily have to be seen as a proposal intimately related to DSR. Indeed, if one postulates the validity of gravity's rainbow, to obtain modified dispersion relations one necessarily has to impose that plane wave solutions

$$dx^\mu p_\mu = dx^0 p_0 + dx^i p_i = g^{00} dx_0 p_0 + g^{ij} dx_i p_j \ , \tag{5}$$

remain plane, even if the metric is described by Eq. (3); only in this way one can argue that the modified dispersion relations are a consequence of gravity's rainbow. However, if we relax the condition of imposing plane wave solutions even at the Planck scale, the distorted metric, Eq. (3), leads to a Generalized Uncertainty Principle (GUP) which differs form the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, by terms linear and quadratic in particle momenta. The GUP induced terms become relevant near the Planck scale and lead to the existence of a minimum measurable length. This could be interpreted as the breakdown of the validity of continuum space-time at very small scales.

Our aim here is to study aspects of quantum cosmology in the presence of gravity's rainbow, which results from a metric deformation as described in Eq. (3). We will address the question of whether a successful inflationary era can arise in the absence of a scalar field (the inflaton), as a result of the modified WDW equation in the context of gravity's rainbow. In particular, we will investigate whether a closed universe can spontaneously nucleate out of nothing, and then undergo an inflationary period. In this approach, the evolution of the universe can be viewed as the motion of a hypothetical particle having zero energy, coordinate defined by the scale factor of the universe and moving in a specified potential, whose form leads to a scale that separates ultra-violet from infra-red physics. Whether the tunneling of the wave-function that creates a universe out from nothing, can lead to an inflationary stage or not, will depend on the scale to which the universe tunnels to. In other words, only if there is an exponential expansion in a short time-scale, one may expect an inflationary era. We will thus study whether at large-scales the potential approaches values such that the wave-function admits outgoing plane waves leading to an expanding universe in the future. In the affirmative case, we have obtained an inflationary expansion resulting from the deformation of the metric, without the need to introduce a scalar field.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section II, we recall the basic elements of quantum cosmology in a FLRW space-time and in Section III, we extend our discussion in the presence of gravity's rainbow. In Section IV we discuss the modifications of the wave-functions for the *tunneling* and *no boundary* proposals in the context of gravity's rainbow and the onset of inflation. We summarize our results in Section V. In the appendix we briefly present the *tunneling* and *no boundary* proposals. Throughout this analysis we use units in which $\hbar = c = k = 1$.

II. THE WDW EQUATION FOR THE FLRW SPACE-TIME

Let us consider a simple mini-super-space model described by the FLRW line element, Eq. (1). In this background, the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature read

$$R_{ij} = \frac{2}{a^2\left(t\right)}\gamma_{ij} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R = \frac{6}{a^2\left(t\right)} \ , \tag{6}$$

respectively. The Einstein-Hilbert action in $(3+1)$-dim is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int_{\Sigma\times I}\mathcal{L}\ dt\ d^3x \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int_{\Sigma\times I} N\sqrt{g}\left[K^{ij}K_{ij}-K^2+R-2\Lambda\right]\ dt\ d^3x\ , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with Λ the cosmological constant, K_{ij} the extrinsic curvature and K its trace. Using the FLRW line element, Eq. (1), the above action, Eq. (7), becomes

$$S = -\frac{3\pi}{4G}\int_I\left[\dot{a}^2a - a + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^3\right]dt\ , \tag{8}$$

where we have computed the volume associated with the three-sphere, $V_3 = 2\pi^2$, and set $N = 1$. The canonical momentum reads

$$\pi_a = \frac{\delta S}{\delta\dot{a}} = -\frac{3\pi}{2G}\dot{a}a\ , \tag{9}$$

and the resulting Hamiltonian density is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \pi_a\dot{a} - \mathcal{L} \\ &= -\frac{G}{3\pi a}\pi_a^2 - \frac{3\pi}{4G}a + \frac{3\pi}{4G}\frac{\Lambda}{3}a^3\ . \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Following the canonical quantization prescription, we promote π_a to a momentum operator, setting $\pi_a \to -i\partial/\partial a$. Thus, the WDW equation, $\mathcal{H}\Psi(a) = 0$, with $\Psi(a)$ the wave-function of the universe, reads

$$\left[-a^{-q}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial a}a^q\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\right] + \frac{9\pi^2}{4G^2}\left(a^2 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^4\right)\right]\Psi(a) = 0\ , \tag{11}$$

and assumes the familiar form of a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation for a hypothetical particle moving in the potential

$$U(a) = \frac{9\pi^2}{4G^2}a^2\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)\ , \tag{12}$$

with zero total energy and coordinate $a(t)$. The parameter q represents the factor-ordering ambiguity and $a_0 = \sqrt{3/\Lambda}$ plays the rôle of a reference length. The potential vanishes at

$$a = a_0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad a = 0\ .$$

For $0 < a < a_0$, Eq. (12) implies $U(a) > 0$, which is the classically forbidden region where the behavior of $\Psi(a)$ is exponential. For $a > a_0$, Eq. (12) leads to $U(a) < 0$, which is the classically allowed region where the behavior of $\Psi(a)$ is oscillatory. The WDW equation can be solved exactly for the special case of operator ordering $q = -1$ [3], in terms of Airy functions [1], as

$$\Psi(a) = \alpha Ai\left(z_0\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)\right) + \beta Bi\left(z_0\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)\right)\ , \tag{13}$$

---

[1] Let us remind the asymptotic forms of the Airy functions for large values of the argument ($z \to +\infty$):

$$Ai(z) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}z^{-\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\ ,$$

$$Bi(z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}z^{-\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\ ,$$

$$Ai(-z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}z^{-\frac{1}{4}}\sin\left(\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\ ,$$

$$Bi(-z) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}z^{-\frac{1}{4}}\cos\left(\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right)\ .$$

where

$$z_0 = \left(\frac{3\pi a_0^2}{4G}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} . \tag{14}$$

For an arbitrary choice of the factor ordering [2], the wave-function, independently of the boundary conditions, acquires a factor $a^{-(q+1)/2}$ [4]. The coefficients α and β are arbitrary complex numbers determined by the choice of a state for the wave-function of the universe. For example [3], setting $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, one obtains the Vilenkin (*tunnelling*) wave-function $\Psi_{\rm V}$ [3], while setting $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta = 0$, one obtains the Hartle-Hawking (*no boundary*) wave-function $\Psi_{\rm HH}$ [5]. It is worth noting that, keeping α and β undetermined, one obtains an infinite number of solutions [6]. For the wave-function in Eq. (13) above, we define

$$\Gamma = \left|\frac{\Psi\left(0\right)}{\Psi\left(z_0\right)}\right|^2 , \tag{15}$$

as the probability coefficient describing the creation of the universe from *nothing*; it is an exact probability. If the wave-function is such that the probability coefficient Γ is less than unity, we say that there is decay or quantum tunnelling. Substituting Eq. (15) in Eq. (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \left|\frac{\alpha Ai\left(0\right) + \beta Bi\left(0\right)}{\alpha Ai\left(z_0\right) + \beta Bi\left(z_0\right)}\right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\left[\Gamma\left(2/3\right)\right]^2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{16\pi^4 a_0^2}{G}}\left|\frac{\alpha\sqrt{1/3} + \beta}{\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + 2\beta \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}\right|^2 ,\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we have assumed $a_0^2 \gg G$ and $a^2 \leq a_0^2$. Note that for generic boundary conditions $\Psi\left(0\right)$ appears as a superposition of the under-barrier WKB solutions of Eq. (11). Namely, disregarding the pre-exponential factor, we have

$$\lim_{a\to 0} \Psi_{\pm}\left(a\right) = \lim_{a\to 0} \exp\left[\pm \int_a^{a_0} \left|p\left(a'\right)\right| da'\right] , \tag{17}$$

where

$$p\left(a\right) = \sqrt{U\left(a\right)} \tag{18}$$

is the classical momentum and $U(a)$ the potential given in Eq. (12). After the nucleation, the evolution of the universe becomes classical with initial value determined by $a^2 = a_0^2$. As shown in the appendix, given the wave-functions $\Psi_{\rm V}$ or $\Psi_{\rm HH}$, one can easily compute the probability distribution for the initial values of a in a nucleating universe. The corresponding conserved current ($\nabla \cdot j = 0$) reads

$$j = \exp\left(\pm\frac{4}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \exp\left(\pm\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right) , \tag{19}$$

in agreement with the semiclassical nucleation probability

$$P \sim \exp\left[\pm 2\int_0^{a_0} \left|p\left(a'\right)\right| da'\right] , \tag{20}$$

where the upper sign (+) corresponds to the wave-function $\Psi_{\rm HH}$ and the lower one (−) to $\Psi_{\rm V}$.

Within the context of inflation, it is assumed that the universe starts out with a large effective cosmological constant, which arises from the potential $V\left(\phi\right)$ of a scalar field ϕ, assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic. The Hamiltonian constraint, Eq. (10), reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= \pi_a \dot{a} + \pi_\phi \dot{\phi} - \mathcal{L}\left(a, \phi\right) \\ &= -\frac{G}{3\pi a}\pi_a^2 - \frac{\pi_\phi^2}{2a^3} + \pi^2 a^3 V\left(\phi\right) - \frac{3\pi}{4G}a + \frac{3\pi}{4G}\frac{\Lambda}{3}a^3 ,\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

---

[2] There are exact solutions also for $q = 3$.

[3] See the appendix for details.

where the field ϕ can be regarded as a parameter. The WDW equation, Eq. (11), then is

$$\left[-a^{-q}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial a}a^{q}\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\right]-\frac{3\pi}{2Ga^{2}}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\phi^{2}}+\frac{9\pi^{2}}{4G^{2}}\left\{a^{2}-a^{4}\left(\frac{\Lambda}{3}+\frac{4G}{3\pi}V\left(\phi\right)\right)\right\}\right]\Psi\left(a,\phi\right)=0\ . \tag{22}$$

Assuming that $V\left(\phi\right)$ and $\Psi\left(a,\phi\right)$ are slowly varying functions of ϕ, we can neglect derivatives with respect to ϕ and Eq. (22) reduces to

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial a^{2}}-\frac{q}{a}\frac{\partial}{\partial a}+\frac{9\pi^{2}}{4G^{2}}\left(a^{2}-\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}}{3}a^{4}\right)\right]\Psi\left(a,\phi\right)=0\ , \tag{23}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}(\phi)=\Lambda+\frac{4G}{\pi}V\left(\phi\right)\ . \tag{24}$$

Equation (23) can be solved, for $q=-1$, in terms of Airy's functions. The effect of the inflaton is the modification of the *reference length* a_0, since the cosmological constant Λ is replaced by an effective cosmological constant with the additional contribution of the potential of the scalar field.

An attempt to avoid the introduction of the inflaton field has been proposed in Ref. [7], where a time-dependent cosmological constant has been considered. In the next section we will study the effect of a distortion of the FLRW space-time metric to examine whether the inflaton can be substituted by a pure gravitational field with quantum fluctuations.

III. THE WDW EQUATION IN THE CONTEXT OF GRAVITY'S RAINBOW

Let us use the distortion of the metric produced by gravity's rainbow, namely substitute the line element Eq. (1) with Eq. (3). The form of the background is such that the shift function N^i vanishes. The extrinsic curvature reads

$$K_{ij}=-\frac{\dot{g}_{ij}}{2N}=\frac{g_{1}\left(E/E_{P}\right)}{g_{2}^{2}\left(E/E_{P}\right)}\tilde{K}_{ij}\ , \tag{25}$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time t. The trace of the extrinsic curvature is

$$K=g^{ij}K_{ij}=g_{1}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)\tilde{K} \tag{26}$$

and the momentum conjugate to the three-metric g_{ij} of Σ is

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{ij}&=\frac{\sqrt{g}}{16\pi G}\left(Kg^{ij}-K^{ij}\right)\\&=\frac{g_{1}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{g_{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\tilde{\pi}^{ij}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Note that any quantity with a tilde indicates the same quantity computed in the absence of gravity's rainbow functions $g_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ and $g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$. The distorted classical constraint, $\mathcal{H}=0$, then reads

$$16\pi G\frac{g_{1}^{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{g_{2}^{3}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\tilde{G}_{ijkl}\tilde{\pi}^{ij}\tilde{\pi}^{kl}-\frac{\sqrt{g}}{16\pi Gg_{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\left[\tilde{R}-\frac{2\Lambda}{g_{2}^{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right]=0\ , \tag{28}$$

where we have used that

$$R=g^{ij}R_{ij}=g_{2}^{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)\tilde{R}\ , \tag{29}$$

and

$$G_{ijkl}=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}}\left(g_{ik}g_{jl}+g_{il}g_{jk}-g_{ij}g_{kl}\right)=\frac{\tilde{G}_{ijkl}}{g_{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\ . \tag{30}$$

From Eq. (28), one can easily see that the distorted WDW equation $\mathcal{H}\Psi=0$ becomes

$$\left[16\pi G\frac{g_{1}^{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{g_{2}^{3}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\tilde{G}_{ijkl}\tilde{\pi}^{ij}\tilde{\pi}^{kl}-\frac{\sqrt{g}}{16\pi Gg_{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\left(\tilde{R}-\frac{2\Lambda}{g_{2}^{2}\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right)\right]\Psi(a)=0. \tag{31}$$

Integrating out all degrees of freedom except the scale factor, one gets

$$\left[-\frac{G}{3\pi a N^2}\pi_a^2\frac{g_1^2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{g_2^3\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}-\frac{3\pi}{4G}\frac{a}{g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}+\frac{\pi}{4G}\frac{a^3\Lambda}{g_2^3\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right]\Psi\left(a\right)=0. \tag{32}$$

Assuming that the factor ordering is not distorted by the presence of the gravity's rainbow functions, one can further simplify the above equation and get

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a^2}-\frac{q}{a}\frac{\partial}{\partial a}+U\left(a,E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)\right]\Psi\left(a\right)=0, \tag{33}$$

where we have set $N=1$; the quantum potential is defined as

$$U\left(a,E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)=\left[\frac{3\pi g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{2Gg_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right]^2 a^2\left[1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2 g_2^2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right]\ , \tag{34}$$

(with $a_0=\sqrt{3/\Lambda}$) and reduces to Eq. (12) for $E\to 0$. The potential, Eq. (34), vanishes when

$$a=g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)a_0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad a=0\ , \tag{35}$$

and gets its maximum

$$U_{\max}=\left[\frac{3\pi g_2^2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)a_0}{4Gg_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right]^2\ , \tag{36}$$

when

$$a=g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)\frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}}\ . \tag{37}$$

For $0<a<g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)a_0$, the potential $U\left(a,E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ is positive, leading to a classically forbidden (Euclidean) region with an exponential wave-function $\Psi\left(a,E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$, while for $a>g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)a_0$, the potential is negative, leading to a classically allowed (Lorentzian) region where the behavior of $\Psi\left(a,E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ is oscillatory. The height and shape of $U\left(a,E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ depend strongly on the choice of $g_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ and $g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$. The WDW equation for gravity's rainbow has the conventional form and only the potential is affected by the introduction of the functions $g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$ and $g_2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$ which modify the line element. Thus, the solution of the WDW equation Eq. (33) with the operator ordering $q=-1$ is

$$\Psi\left(a\right)=\alpha Ai\left(z_0\left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2 g_2^2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right)\right)+\beta Bi\left(z_0\left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2 g_2^2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right)\right)\ , \tag{38}$$

where z_0 depends on E and is given by

$$z_0(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})=\left[\frac{3\pi a_0^2 g_2^3\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{4Gg_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right]^{\frac{2}{3}}\ . \tag{39}$$

The rôle of the inflaton can be played by the rainbow functions $g_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ and $g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$, which capture the deformation of the metric. In particular, $g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ sets up the nucleation point and the nucleation probability, while $g_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)$ is related only to the nucleation probability. Indeed, following the same steps that have led to Eqs. (15), (16), we get that the probability coefficient Γ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma&=\left|\frac{\Psi\left(g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)a_0\right)}{\Psi\left(0\right)}\right|^2\\&=\frac{\pi g_2^3\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{\sqrt{3}\left[\Gamma\left(2/3\right)\right]^2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{\pi a_0^2}{4G}}\left|\frac{\alpha+\beta\sqrt{3}}{\alpha\exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{2Gg_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right)+2\beta\exp\left(\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}{2Gg_1\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)}\right)}\right|^2\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where we have assumed $a_0^2\gg G$ and $a^2\leq\left[a_0 g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)\right]^2$. Since the nucleation point is at $a=g_2\left(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}\right)a_0$ and a_0 is the observed radius of the universe, we need to impose that $g_2\left(E\right)a_0\ll 1$ when the energy scale is too height. This condition is required to get a small tunneling barrier, otherwise one would get nucleation in today's universe.

In addition, this choice is in agreement with the fact that $a_0 g_2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)$ plays the rôle of $1/\sqrt{V\left(\phi\right)}$; at the beginning of inflation the potential of the inflaton must be large. Moreover, inflation ends when $g_2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right) = 1$, which is compatible with the low energy limit of the rainbow's functions.

Let us examine the conditions on the relation between the rainbow functions, so that there is quantum tunneling. If $g_1(E/E_{\rm Pl})$ vanishes faster than $g_2^3(E/E_{\rm Pl})$, then

$$\exp\left(\pm\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{2Gg_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}\right) \to \begin{cases} +\infty \text{ for the plus sign} \\ \\ 0 \text{ for the minus sign}\end{cases} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\Gamma \simeq \frac{\pi g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{2\beta\sqrt{3}\left[\Gamma\left(2/3\right)\right]^2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{\pi a_0^2}{4G}}\left|\left(\alpha+\beta\sqrt{3}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{2Gg_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}\right)\right|^2 . \tag{42}$$

Note that Eq. (42) above, is strictly speaking only valid for the Vilenkin boundary conditions. For the Hartle-Hawking boundary conditions, one will have first to set $\beta = 0$ and then discuss the limits on $g_1(E/E_{\rm Pl})$ and $g_2(E/E_{\rm Pl})$. Since it turns out that $\Gamma < 1$, one gets that both $\Psi_{\rm V}$ and $\Psi_{\rm HH}$ wave-functions predict tunneling even in the presence of gravity's rainbow.

In the opposite case, namely if $g_1(E/E_{\rm Pl})$ vanishes slower than $g_2^3(E/E_{\rm Pl})$, then

$$\exp\left(\pm\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{2Gg_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}\right) \to 1 \tag{43}$$

for both plus/minus signs, which implies that $\Gamma < 1$ leading to quantum tunneling, only provided the condition

$$\frac{\pi g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{\sqrt{3}\left[\Gamma\left(2/3\right)\right]^2}\sqrt[3]{\frac{\pi a_0^2}{4G}} < 1 . \tag{44}$$

is satisfied.

At this point let us remark that if one considers the rainbow's gravity as a generalization of the DSR, then since for massless particles the modified dispersion relation that results from DSR cannot fix the rôle of $g_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)$ and $g_2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)$, the choices made in Refs. [8, 9], are not in conflict with the ones made above, Eq. (41).

IV. THE WAVE-FUNCTION OF THE UNIVERSE IN THE PRESENCE OF GRAVITY'S RAINBOW AND THE ONSET OF INFLATION

We will discuss how the wave-function of the universe, within the Hartle-Hawking and Vilenkin proposals, is modified in the presence of gravity's rainbow. The under-barrier wave-functions $\Psi_{\rm V}$ and $\Psi_{\rm HH}$ become

$$\Psi_{\rm V}^{\rm inside}\left(a\right) \simeq \left(1-\frac{a^2}{g_2^2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)a_0^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left[-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{a^2}{g_2^2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)a_0^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\}\right] , \tag{45}$$

and

$$\Psi_{\rm HH}^{\rm inside}\left(a\right) \simeq \left(1-\frac{a^2}{g_2^2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)a_0^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}}\exp\left[\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{a^2}{g_2^2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)a_0^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right\}\right] , \tag{46}$$

respectively. In this case, $\Psi\left(0\right)$ appears as a superposition of the under-barrier WKB solutions of Eq. (33), namely

$$\lim_{a\to 0}\Psi_{\pm}\left(a\right) = \lim_{a\to 0}\exp\left[\pm\int_a^{g_2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)a_0} p\left(a'\right)da'\right] , \tag{47}$$

where

$$p\left(a\right) = \left[U\left(a, E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{48}$$

is the classical momentum, with $U(a, E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$ the potential given in Eq. (34). Note that every consideration we have done *hitherto* is in the under-barrier region, where trans-Planckian physics is meaningful. Outside the barrier, we face inevitably classical expansion and therefore the issue of the onset of inflation is of relevance. In the Vilenkin proposal, the wave-function reads

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{outside}}(a) \simeq \left(\frac{a^2}{g_2^2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\,a_0^2}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left[\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\right)\left(1+i\left(\frac{a^2}{g_2^2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\,a_0^2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)+i\frac{\pi}{4}\right], \tag{49}$$

and for the Hartle-Hawking proposal, the wave-function is

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{HH}}^{\mathrm{outside}} \simeq 2\left(\frac{a^2}{g_2^2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\,a_0^2}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\right)\cos\left(\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right). \tag{50}$$

To show that an inflating solution appears, we recall that independently of the boundary conditions outside the barrier, for large scale factors

$$\frac{a^2}{g_2^2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\,a_0^2} \gg 1 \quad , \tag{51}$$

and for

$$g_2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}) \sim 1\ , \tag{52}$$

the wave function assumes the form

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{out}}(a) \simeq \exp(iS) \simeq \exp\left[\pm i\left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right], \tag{53}$$

where we have neglected the prefactor and where S satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial a}\right)^2 + U(a, E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\right] = 0. \tag{54}$$

Comparison with Eq.(32) suggests to identify

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial a} = \pi_a = -\frac{3\pi}{2G}\dot{a}a, \tag{55}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \simeq \pm\frac{2G}{a_0^2\pi} = \pm\frac{6G\Lambda}{\pi}, \tag{56}$$

corresponding to an inflationary solution. Since we have obtained an inflationary solution, we have to show that we have sufficient inflation. To do this we compute the conserved current for the Vilenkin and the Hartle-Hawking proposals, j_{V} and j_{HH}, respectively. It is easy to show that they are in agreement with the respective nucleation probabilities:

$$j_{\mathrm{V}}(E) = \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}{G g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}\right) = P_{\mathrm{V}} \sim \exp\left[-2\int_0^{g_2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})a_0} |p(a')|\,da'\right] \tag{57}$$

and

$$j_{\mathrm{HH}}(E) = \exp\left(\frac{4}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})\,a_0^2}{G g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}\right) = P_{\mathrm{HH}} \sim \exp\left[2\int_0^{g_2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})a_0} |p(a')|\,da'\right]. \tag{58}$$

Therefore, the transition between the forbidden and the classical regions happens in a continuous way. However, outside the barrier we expect that $(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}}) \ll 1$, thus the distortion on the currents due to gravity's rainbow is

$$j_{\mathrm{V}}(E) \simeq \exp\left[-\frac{\pi a_0^2}{G}\left(3g_2'(0)-g_1'(0)\right)\frac{E}{E_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\right] \tag{59}$$

for the Vilenkin proposal, and

$$j_{\mathrm{HH}}(E) \simeq \exp\left[\frac{\pi a_0^2}{G}\left(3g_2'(0) - g_1'(0)\right)\frac{E}{E_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\right] , \tag{60}$$

for the Hartle-Hawking one; we have used that $g_1(0) = g_2(0) = 1$. To discuss the probability to have sufficient inflation we can compute conditional probabilities using the wave-function outside the barrier, namely

$$P\left(\phi_i > \phi_{\mathrm{suff}} \middle|\, 0 < \phi_i < \phi_{\mathrm{sup}}\right) = \frac{\int_{\phi_{\mathrm{suff}}}^{\phi_{\mathrm{sup}}} j(\phi)\, d\phi}{\int_0^{\phi_{\mathrm{sup}}} j(\phi)\, d\phi} , \tag{61}$$

where $j(\phi)$ is the density current $j = \exp(\pm 4/3z_0^{3/2})$, with z_0 defined in Eqs. (14), ϕ_{suff} stands for the minimum value of ϕ which guarantees $N_{\min} \simeq 60$ e-folds of inflation and ϕ_{sup} is a cut-off at the Planck scale. The initial value is found at $a^2 = 1/V(\phi)$, which is the surface where separating the tunneling from the inflating region.

According to Eq. (61), for gravity's rainbow one has

$$P\left(E > E_{\mathrm{suff}} \middle|\, 0 < E < \infty\right) = \frac{\int_{E_{\mathrm{suff}}}^{\infty} j(E)\, dE}{\int_0^{\infty} j(E)\, dE} , \tag{62}$$

where $j(E)$ is the density current given in Eqs. (57), (58) and E_{suff} is the minimum value of E for which there are at least 60 e-folds. In the gravity's rainbow case the surface separating the tunneling and inflating region is located at $a^2 = a_0^2 g_2^2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$. Due to the presence of the rainbow's functions $g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$ and $g_2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$, we are allowed to take the upper limit of the integration to infinity. For the Vilenkin proposal, we get

$$P_{\mathrm{V}}\left(E > E_{\mathrm{suff}} \middle|\, 0 < E < \infty\right) = \frac{\int_{E_{\mathrm{suff}}}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}{G g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}\right) dE}{\int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}{G g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}\right) dE} = 1 - \frac{\int_0^{E_{\mathrm{suff}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}{G g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}\right) dE}{\int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2 g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}{G g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}\right) dE} . \tag{63}$$

Let us consider some forms of $g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$ and $g_2(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})$ as discussed in Ref. [8]. From the above probability, Eq. (63), it is sufficient to fix the form of the ratio in the argument of the exponential. An interesting choice is

$$\frac{g_2^3(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})}{g_1(E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})} = \sqrt{1 + (E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})^2} , \tag{64}$$

leading to

$$P_{\mathrm{V}} = 1 - \frac{\int_0^{E_{\mathrm{suff}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi a_0^2}{G}\sqrt{1 + (E/E_{\mathrm{Pl}})^2}\right) dE}{K_1\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)} , \tag{65}$$

where $K_1(x)$ is the Bessel function of the second kind. Since $E_{\mathrm{suff}} \ll E_{\mathrm{Pl}}$, we can gain more information using a power-series expansion for small values of the E/E_{Pl}, to find

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathrm{V}} &= 1 - \frac{E_{\mathrm{suff}}}{E_{\mathrm{Pl}} K_1\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)\left[1 - \left(\frac{\pi}{2\Lambda G}\right)\left(\frac{E_{\mathrm{suff}}}{E_{\mathrm{Pl}}}\right)^2\right] \\ &\simeq 1 - \frac{E_{\mathrm{suff}}}{E_{\mathrm{Pl}} K_1\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Since $\Lambda G \sim 10^{-120}$(in Planck's units), we can use the asymptotic expansion of $K_1(x)$ to find

$$P_{\mathrm{V}} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}\, E_{\mathrm{suff}}}{E_{\mathrm{Pl}}} 10^{60} .$$

Therefore, to have sufficient inflation, one requires

$$E_{\mathrm{suff}} < \frac{10^{60}}{\sqrt{6}} E_{\mathrm{Pl}} . \tag{67}$$

Another interesting choice is

$$\frac{g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{g_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}=1+\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)^{\alpha}\ \ , \tag{68}$$

leading to

$$P_{\rm V}=1-\frac{\gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha},\frac{3\pi E_{\rm suff}^{\alpha}}{E_{\rm Pl}\Lambda G}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}\ , \tag{69}$$

where $\Gamma\left(x\right)$ is the Gamma function and $\gamma\left(\alpha,x\right)$ is the lower incomplete Gamma function. Since $E_{\rm suff}\ll E_{\rm Pl}$, we use as previously a power-series expansion for small values of the x and get

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\rm V}&=1-\frac{\alpha\, E_{\rm suff}}{E_{\rm Pl}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\left(\alpha+1\right)}\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left[\alpha+1-\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)\left(\frac{E_{\rm suff}}{E_{\rm Pl}}\right)^{\alpha}\right]\\&\simeq1-\frac{\alpha\, E_{\rm suff}}{E_{\rm Pl}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\ .\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Also in this case, since $\Lambda G\sim10^{-120}$, we find that to have sufficient inflation

$$E_{\rm suff}<\frac{\left(3\pi10^{120}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\alpha}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)E_{\rm Pl}. \tag{71}$$

Note that for the *no boundary* state the probability density has a positive argument and choices (64) and (68) lead to a divergent result; the integration over the whole region makes it difficult to assign a meaning to the Hartle-Hawking state. If one is tempted to invert the behavior of choices (64) and (68), namely

$$\frac{g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{g_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)^{2}}} \tag{72}$$

and

$$\frac{g_2^3\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}{g_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)}=\frac{1}{1+\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)^{\alpha}}\ , \tag{73}$$

one finds that in the trans-Planckian region the probability cannot be computed, because the probability density cannot be integrated over the whole region. One possible choice could be that of restricting the integration interval to a finite region, in such a way as to get a finite result.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Suggesting that our universe underwent, during the early stages of its evolution, a very fast (exponential) expansion, dubbed as cosmological inflation, driven by an inflaton (usually scalar) field (the inflaton), has become the standard paradigm. However, there remains the difficulty to find a theoretical framework that has an inflaton field with the required properties in order to get a successful inflationary model [10]; one can certainly put it by hand but this is not a satisfactory solution. In this work, we have tried to pursue another line of approach which is encoded in general relativity, namely the WDW equation distorted by gravity's rainbow. The appealing point of gravity's rainbow is that it switches on at the Planck's scale distorting only the trans-Planckian region. Note that this property has been used to keep under control ultra-violet divergences [9] and several cosmological applications have been also considered in the literature (e.g., Ref. [8]). In this work, we have applied gravity's rainbow within the context of quantum cosmology. We have shown that the rainbow's functions $g_1\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)$ and $g_2\left(E/E_{\rm Pl}\right)$, which deform the space-time geometry, seem to play the same rôle as the inflaton field, at least formally. This result means that one may be able to avoid the need to introduce an external scalar field, the inflaton; this could imply that physics is encoded in the gravitational field alone.

Appendix A: Tunneling and No Boundary Proposals

To discuss the different boundary conditions we will consider the under-barrier and the outside-barrier regions separately. Keeping α and β undetermined as in Eq. (15) we find for the under-barrier region that

$$\frac{\Psi(a)}{\Psi(0)} = \left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + 2\beta \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}{\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + 2\beta \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}\right] , \tag{A1}$$

where we have used the asymptotic approximation of the Airy's functions with positive arguments. Note that the expression of the probability coefficient Γ in Eq. (15) is exact and therefore in proximity of the barrier we have no divergences. Outside the barrier one can use the asymptotic form of the Airy functions for negative arguments rearranged as

$$\frac{\Psi(a)}{\Psi(0)} \simeq \left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha}{i}+\beta\right)\exp\left(i\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + i\frac{\pi}{4}\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{i}-\beta\right)\exp\left(-i\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - i\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + 2\beta \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}\right] , \tag{A2}$$

where, we have maintained the same normalization as in Eq. ($A1$). To obtain a unique solution, one has to specify the boundary conditions. There are two main boundary conditions proposals, the Vilenkin (*tunneling*) proposal and the Hartle-Hawing (*no boundary*), with wave-functions $\Psi_{\rm V}$ and $\Psi_{\rm HH}$, respectively. In the former, the wave-function $\Phi(h,\phi)$, with h_{ij} the three-geometry, and ϕ the field matter field configuration,is obtained by integrating over Lorentzian histories interpolating between a vanishing three-geometry 0 and (h,ϕ) and lying to the past of(h,ϕ); in the latter, the wave-function is given by a Euclidean path integral over compact four-geometries $g_{\mu\nu}$ bounded by h_{ij} with field configuration $\phi(x)$. Note that with the choice Eq. (15), we are forced to require that both wave-functions $\Psi_{\rm V}$ and $\Psi_{\rm HH}$ are bounded as $a \to 0$. Therefore, we fix

$$\Psi_{\rm HH}(a)_{|a=0} = \Psi_{\rm V}(a)_{|a=0} = 1. \tag{A3}$$

For the Vilenkin proposal, the wave-function $\Psi_{\rm V}$ is defined by the choice

$$\alpha = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \beta = i \ , \tag{A4}$$

leading to an outgoing wave outside the potential barrier. Note that in Eq. ($A1$) above, before fixing α and β, one has

$$\lim_{z_0\to+\infty}\lim_{a\to a_0}\frac{\Psi(a)}{\Psi(0)} \neq \lim_{a\to a_0}\lim_{z_0\to+\infty}\frac{\Psi(a)}{\Psi(0)} \ , \tag{A5}$$

hence only the right hand side limit can lead to the Vilenkin boundary condition. Moreover, to obtain continuity between Eq. (A1) and Eq. (A2) at $a = a_0$, one has to take the third root of $(-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$; in agreement with the WKB approximation of the outgoing and ingoing waves. Let us mention that in Ref. [3], the variable z of Eq. (14) was defined as $-z$, thus one takes the principal root of $(-1)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$. Hence, the final form of the wave-function reads

$$\Psi_{\rm V}^{\rm outside}(a) \simeq \left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left[\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\left(1+i\left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + i\frac{\pi}{4}\right] . \tag{A6}$$

For the under barrier wave-function, we simply have

$$\Psi_{\rm V}^{\rm inside}(a) \simeq \left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left[\left(-\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\left(1-\left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right] . \tag{A7}$$

For the Hartle-Hawking proposal, the wave-function $\Psi_{\rm HH}$ is defined as

$$\alpha = 1 \ \text{ and } \ \beta = 0 \ . \tag{A8}$$

Outside the barrier the wave-function reads

$$\Psi_{\rm HH}^{\rm outside} \simeq 2\left(\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\cos\left(\frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \ , \tag{A9}$$

while in the under barrier classically forbidden region

$$\Psi_{\rm HH}^{\rm inside}\left(a\right) \simeq \left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\left(1-\left(1-\frac{a^2}{a_0^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right] \ . \tag{A10}$$

For an arbitrary choice of the factor ordering, the wave-function, independently of the boundary conditions, acquires a factor $a^{-(q+1)/2}$ [4]. With the wave-functions $\Psi_{\rm V}$ or $\Psi_{\rm HH}$, one can compute the probability distribution for the initial values of a in a nucleating universe. The conserved current for a FLRW mini-super-space reads

$$j = \frac{i}{2}a^q\left(\Psi^*\partial_a\Psi - \Psi\partial_a\Psi^*\right) \ . \tag{A11}$$

It is easy to show that for $\Psi_{\rm V}$ the conserved current is

$$j_{\rm V} = \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right) \ , \tag{A12}$$

in agreement with the nucleation probability $P = \left|\frac{\Psi(a_0)}{\Psi(0)}\right|^2$:

$$P_{\rm V} \sim \exp\left[-2\int_0^{a_0}\left|p\left(a'\right)\right|da'\right] \ , \tag{A13}$$

where the WKB form of the Vilenkin wave-function $\Psi_{\rm V}$ has been used. For the Hartle-Hawking wave-function $\Psi_{\rm HH}$, the corresponding conserved current is

$$j_{\rm HH} = \exp\left(\frac{4}{3}z_0^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{3\pi}{\Lambda G}\right) \ , \tag{A14}$$

in agreement with the tunnelling probability

$$P_{\rm HH} \sim \exp\left[2\int_0^{a_0}\left|p\left(a'\right)\right|da'\right] \ , \tag{A15}$$

where

$$p\left(a\right) = \left[-U\left(a\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is the classical momentum, with $U(a)$ the potential given in Eq. (12). Since the observation supports small values of the cosmological constant, we conclude that in the Vilenkin proposal, we have a suppression of the nucleation process, while in the Hartle-Hawking proposal there is exactly the reversed situation.

---

[1] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 1725 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0305055].

[2] J. Kowalski-Glikman, *Phys. Lett. A* **286**, 391 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0102098]; N.R. Bruno, G. Amelino-Camelia, J. Kowalski-Glikman, *Phys. Lett.* **522**, 133 (2001); G. Amelino-Camelia, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **D 11**, 35 (2002); gr-qc/0012051. G. Amelino-Camelia, *Phys. Lett.* **B 510**, 255 (2001); hep-th/0012238; J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 190403 (2002).

[3] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D 37**, 888 (1988).

[4] D. L. Wiltshire, An introduction to quantum cosmology. [gr-qc/0101003]. D. L. Wiltshire, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **32**, 515 (2000); [arXiv: gr-qc/9905090]. N. Kontoleon and D.L. Wiltshire, *Phys. Rev.* **D 59**, 063513 (1999); [arXiv: gr-qc/9807075].

[5] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev.* **D 28** (1983) 2960; S. W. Hawking, *Nucl. Phys.* **B, 239** (1984) 257.

[6] G.W. Gibbons and L.P. Grishchuk, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 313** (1989) 736.

[7] S. Watson, M. J. Perry, G. L. Kane and F. C. Adams, *JCAP* 0711 017 (2007); [arXiv: hep-th/0610054].

[8] S. Alexander and J. Magueijo, *Noncommutative geometry as a realization of varying speed of light cosmology.* [arXiv: hep-th/0104093].Proceedings of the XIIIrd Rencontres de Blois, pp281, 2004.

[9] R. Garattini, *Phys.Lett.* **B** 685 329 (2010); arXiv:0902.3927 [gr-qc].R. Garattini and F. S.N. Lobo, *Phys. Rev.* **D 85** (2012) 024043; [arXiv:1111.5729 [gr-qc]]. R. Garattini and G. Mandanici, *Phys. Rev.* **D 85** (2012) 023507; [arXiv:1109.6563 [gr-qc]]. R. Garattini and G. Mandanici, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011) 084021. [arXiv:1102.3803 [gr-qc]]. R. Garattini, *Distorting General Relativity: Gravity's Rainbow and f(R) theories at work*. [arXiv:1210.7760 [gr-qc]].

[10] E. Calzetta and M. Sakellariadou, *Phys. Rev.* **D 45** (1992) 2802; E. Calzetta and M. Sakellariadou, *Phys. Rev.* **D 47** (1993) 3184; C. Germani, W. Nelson and M. Sakellariadou, *Phys. Rev.* **D 76** (2007) 043529 [arXiv:gr-qc/0701172].